![PlanScore - A PROJECT OF CAMPAIGN LEGAL CENTER]

NM-LC.json

State
New Mexico


Legislative
U.S. House

Added to PlanScore
Oct. 9, 2021

This plan has 3 seats. Fairness metrics for plans with fewer than seven seats should be interpreted with great caution.

PlanScore bases its scores on predicted precinct-level votes for each office (State House, State Senate, and U.S. House) built from past election results and U.S. Census data. More information about the predictive model used to score this plan.

# Charts and Graphs

Efficiency Gap: 10.0%

+25% D   Balanced   +25% R

Votes for Democratic candidates are expected to be inefficient at a rate 10.0% lower than votes for Republican candidates, favoring Democrats in 81% of predicted scenarios.[*] Learn more ❯

Sensitivity Testing

Sensitivity testing shows us a plan's expected efficiency gap given a range of possible vote swings. It lets us evaluate the durability of a plan's skew.

Declination: 0.09

+0.81 D   Balanced   +0.81 R

The mean Republican vote share in Republican districts is expected to be 1.6% higher than the mean Democratic vote share in Democratic districts. Along with the relative fraction of seats won by each party, this leads to a declination that favors Democrats in 64% of predicted scenarios.[*] Learn more ❯

Partisan Bias: 6.7%

This Plan

+25% D   Balanced   +25% R

Democrats would be expected to win 6.7% extra seats in a hypothetical, perfectly tied election, favoring

Democrats in 70% of predicted scenarios.* Learn more ❯

Mean-Median Difference: 1.4%

This Plan

+12% D   Balanced   +12% R

The median Democrat vote share is expected to be 1.4% higher than the mean Democrat vote share, favoring

Democrats in 70% of predicted scenarios.* Learn more ❯

District Map

Democratic
Republican
Leans Dem.
Leans Rep.

Leaflet | ©OpenStreetMap, ©CARTO, ©Stamen

District Data

District	Candidate Scenario	Pop. 2020	Non-Hisp. Black CVAP 2019	Hispanic CVAP 2019	Non-Hisp. Asian CVAP 2019	Non-Hisp. Native CVAP 2019	Chance of 1+ Flips[†]	Chance of Democratic Win	Predicted Vote Shares
1	Open Seat	705,759	2.7%	43.9%	2.3%	5.0%	No	90%	57% D / 43% R
2	Open Seat	705,807	2.1%	47.9%	0.8%	5.1%	Yes	18%	45% D / 55% R
3	Open Seat	705,825	1.6%	36.6%	1.2%	18.0%	No	88%	56% D / 44% R

Download raw data as tab-delimited text.

Metric	Value	Favors Democrats in this % of Scenarios[*]	More Skewed than this % of Historical Plans[‡]	More Pro-Democratic than this % of Historical Plans[‡]
Efficiency Gap	10.0% Pro-Democratic	81%	81%	88%
Declination	0.09 Pro-Democratic	64%	37%	73%
Partisan Bias	6.7% Pro-Democratic	70%	59%	82%
Mean-Median Difference	1.4% Pro-Democratic	70%	21%	57%

[*] Scenarios are part of the predictive model used to score this plan.

[†] 50%+ chance of one or more party flips assuming the plan is used for one decade with five State House elections, five U.S. House elections, or three State Senate elections.

[‡] Enacted U.S. House, State House, and State Senate plan metrics are featured in our historical dataset.

CLC

**ADVANCING
DEMOCRACY
THROUGH LAW**

PlanScore is a project of Campaign Legal Center.