

User:

Plan Name: North Carolina Plan 2

Plan Type: Congress

# Measures of Compactness Report

Sunday, January 16, 2022

5:20 PM

Number of cut edges: 4,080

	Reock	Schwartzberg	Alternate Schwartzberg	Polsby-Popper	Population Polygon	Area/Convex Hull	Population Circle	Ehrenburg	Perimeter	Length-Width
Sum	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4,562.81	N/A
Min	0.33	1.21	1.23	0.28	0.57	0.71	0.32	0.29	N/A	3.37
Max	0.65	1.72	1.90	0.66	0.98	0.97	0.89	0.68	N/A	72.49
Mean	0.50	1.49	1.61	0.41	0.84	0.84	0.57	0.46	N/A	20.45
Std. Dev.	0.10	0.18	0.24	0.13	0.12	0.08	0.17	0.13	N/A	18.13

District	Reock	Schwartzberg	Alternate Schwartzberg	Polsby-Popper	Population Polygon	Area/Convex Hull	Population Circle	Ehrenburg	Perimeter	Length-Width
1	0.33	1.58	1.86	0.29	0.93	0.83	0.89	0.32	515.06	72.49
2	0.65	1.24	1.37	0.54	0.82	0.88	0.57	0.65	556.67	10.56
3	0.59	1.31	1.40	0.51	0.79	0.93	0.68	0.61	345.51	31.03
4	0.52	1.62	1.86	0.29	0.88	0.76	0.53	0.51	433.66	19.88
5	0.41	1.61	1.65	0.37	0.74	0.79	0.44	0.42	218.74	27.79
6	0.52	1.62	1.66	0.36	0.89	0.84	0.73	0.49	94.72	6.87
7	0.62	1.32	1.46	0.47	0.96	0.92	0.64	0.68	278.31	3.37
8	0.43	1.60	1.70	0.34	0.57	0.82	0.34	0.35	283.05	27.85
9	0.50	1.24	1.24	0.65	0.98	0.97	0.54	0.39	177.75	29.72
10	0.42	1.71	1.90	0.28	0.63	0.72	0.32	0.32	567.02	4.97
11	0.50	1.58	1.88	0.28	0.93	0.71	0.67	0.35	477.85	15.63

# Measures of Compactness Report

Number of cut edges: 4,080

	<b>Reock</b>	<b>Schwartzberg</b>	<b>Alternate Schwartzberg</b>	<b>Polsby-Popper</b>	<b>Population Polygon</b>	<b>Area/Convex Hull</b>	<b>Population Circle</b>	<b>Ehrenburg</b>	<b>Perimeter</b>	<b>Length-Width</b>
Sum	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4,562.81	N/A
Min	0.33	1.21	1.23	0.28	0.57	0.71	0.32	0.29	N/A	3.37
Max	0.65	1.72	1.90	0.66	0.98	0.97	0.89	0.68	N/A	72.49
Mean	0.50	1.49	1.61	0.41	0.84	0.84	0.57	0.46	N/A	20.45
Std. Dev.	0.10	0.18	0.24	0.13	0.12	0.08	0.17	0.13	N/A	18.13

  

<b>District</b>	<b>Reock</b>	<b>Schwartzberg</b>	<b>Alternate Schwartzberg</b>	<b>Polsby-Popper</b>	<b>Population Polygon</b>	<b>Area/Convex Hull</b>	<b>Population Circle</b>	<b>Ehrenburg</b>	<b>Perimeter</b>	<b>Length-Width</b>
12	0.42	1.72	1.84	0.29	0.82	0.76	0.34	0.29	306.67	23.56
13	0.62	1.21	1.23	0.66	0.92	0.94	0.62	0.61	203.17	8.65
14	0.45	1.48	1.54	0.42	0.90	0.86	0.68	0.50	104.63	3.93

## Measures of Compactness Summary

---

<b>Reock</b>	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
<b>Schwartzberg</b>	The measure is usually greater than or equal to 1, with 1 being the most compact.
<b>Alternate Schwartzberg</b>	This measure is always greater than or equal to 1, with 1 being the most compact.
<b>Polsby-Popper</b>	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
<b>Population Polygon</b>	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
<b>Area / Convex Hull</b>	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
<b>Population Circle</b>	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
<b>Ehrenburg</b>	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
<b>Perimeter</b>	The Perimeter test computes one number for the whole plan. If you are comparing several plans, the plan with the smallest total perimeter is the most compact.
<b>Length-Width</b>	A lower number indicates better length-width compactness.
<b>Cut Edges</b>	A smaller number implies a more compact plan. The measure should only be used to compare plans defined on the same base layer.